2017 Wisconsin Act 317 makes several significant changes, described below, to state law relating to rental housing, landlord-tenant law, court records, and local government authority.

**Municipal Inspection of Rental Property**

As affected by 2015 Wisconsin Act 176, state law generally prohibits cities, villages, towns, and counties from enacting ordinances that require a rental property or rental unit to be inspected. However, prior law provided certain exceptions to that general prohibition. Specifically, a city, village, town, or county may have required an inspection in any of the following circumstances:

- The inspection is conducted upon a complaint by any person.
- The inspection is conducted as part of a program of regularly scheduled inspections conducted in compliance with special inspection warrant procedures, as applicable.
- The inspection is required under state or federal law.

Prior law required fees charged for the inspection of residential rental property to be uniform and charged at the time the inspection was actually performed.

Act 317 removes the general authority to conduct inspections as part of a “program of regularly scheduled inspections.” However, the Act authorizes a city, town, or county to establish a rental property inspection program in designated districts in which there is evidence of blight, high rates of building code complaints or violations, deteriorating property values, or increases in single-family home conversions to rental units. No inspection of a unit may be conducted under the program if the occupant of that unit does not consent to allow access, unless the inspection is under a special inspection warrant. Also, a local government is prohibited from inspecting rental property that is less than eight years old as part of the inspection program.

Under such a rental property inspection, if no “habitability violation” is discovered during an inspection, or if such a violation is corrected within a period designated by the municipality (but generally not less than 30 days), then the local government may not inspect the same property again for at least five years. The Act defines “habitability violation” to mean any of the following conditions:

- The rental property or rental unit lacks hot or cold running water.
- Heating facilities serving the rental property or rental unit are not in safe operating condition or are not capable of maintaining a temperature, in all living areas of the property or unit, of at least 67 degrees Fahrenheit during all seasons of the year in which the property or unit may be occupied.
- The rental property or rental unit is not served by electricity, or the electrical wiring, outlets, fixtures, or other components of the electrical system are not in safe operating condition.
- Any structural or other conditions in the rental property or rental unit that constitute a substantial hazard to the health or safety of the tenant, or create an unreasonable risk of personal injury as a result of any reasonably foreseeable use of the property or unit other than negligent use or abuse of the property or unit by the tenant.
- The rental property or rental unit is not served by plumbing facilities in good operating condition.
- The rental property or rental unit is not served by sewage disposal facilities in good operating condition.
- The rental property or rental unit lacks working smoke detectors or carbon monoxide detectors.
- The rental property or rental unit is infested with rodents or insects.
- The rental property or rental unit contains excessive mold.
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A local government may designate a period of less than 30 days to correct a violation if the violation exposes a tenant to imminent danger. Local governments must also extend the designated period upon a showing of good cause. If a habitability violation is discovered and is not corrected within the designated period, then the municipality may conduct annual inspections of the property. However, if no habitability violation is discovered during two consecutive annual inspections, then the local government may not perform a program inspection of the property for at least five years.

In addition, the Act generally limits the amount of a fee charged under the inspection program described above to $75 for an inspection of a vacant unit or an inspection of exterior or common areas, $90 for any other initial inspection, and $150 for a second or subsequent inspection with an allowance for a 2% annual increase to those amounts.

However, the Act prohibits a city, village, town, or county from imposing any fee in any of the following circumstances:

• An owner voluntarily allows access for an inspection of exterior and common areas, and no habitability violation is discovered during the inspection, or, if a violation is discovered, the violation is corrected within a designated period.

• No habitability violation is discovered during the inspection, or, if a violation is discovered, for a reinspection that occurs after the violation is corrected within the designated period.

• The inspection does not occur because an occupant does not allow access to the property.

For inspections conducted pursuant to a special inspection warrant, the Act limits the amount of the fee to $150, subject to an allowance for a 2% annual increase, except that if no habitability violation is discovered, then no fee may be charged. If a habitability violation is discovered and not corrected within a designated period, then the fee may not exceed $300.

Finally, the Act also requires local governments to maintain records regarding inspections performed upon a complaint from an employee or official. The records must include the name of the person making the complaint, the nature of the complaint, and any inspection conducted upon the complaint.

Landlord Registration Fees and Prohibition Against Imposing Aesthetic Regulations on Rental Property

Act 317 generally prohibits local governments from requiring that a residential rental property owner register or obtain a certification or license related to owning or managing the residential rental property. However, a local government may...
require a residential rental property owner be registered if the registration requires only one name of an owner or authorized contact person and an address, telephone number, and, if available, an electronic mail address or other information necessary to receive communications by other electronic means at which the person may be contacted. Local governments may charge a one-time registration fee that reflects the actual costs of operating a registration program, but such fee may not exceed $10 per building. The registration fee may also be charged anytime there is a change of ownership or management of a building or change of contact information for a building. (The fee cap does not apply to the City of Milwaukee.)

The Act prohibits local governments from enacting or enforcing an ordinance, or otherwise imposing a requirement that includes “aesthetic considerations,” defined to mean considerations relating to color, texture, and design that do not relate to health or safety, for purposes of inspection criteria for the interior of residential structures.

Provision of Utility Service to Rental Units

State law sets forth certain procedures governing a property owner’s responsibility for service to a rental dwelling unit. The Act retains those provisions but provides certain additional requirements in the event that a tenant’s utility payments are in arrears or service is to be disconnected. Specifically, if requested by the owner of a rental unit and authorized by the tenant residing in the unit, the Act requires a public utility to notify the owner in the same manner as the tenant of any pending disconnection of service to the unit that is due to nonpayment of past due charges, and may provide information about the status of the disconnection to the owner by telephone. In addition, the Act prohibits a public utility from requiring the owner of a rental unit to provide proof of eviction or other evidence that a tenant has vacated a rental unit as a condition for providing or resuming service to the unit, if the service is placed and maintained solely in the owner’s name. Finally, the Act makes a certain process for enforcing utility bill arrears by placing liens on property unavailable to a municipal utility that does not comply with a requirement under state law to send bills for utility service to the tenant.

Limiting the Amount of Levy Limit Reductions Required When Using Fees for Covered Services

Under the prior levy limit law, if a municipality received fee revenues designated to pay for a covered service (i.e., garbage collection, fire protection, snow plowing, street sweeping, or storm water management) that was funded partially or totally in 2013 by property tax levy, the municipality was required to reduce its levy limit by an amount equal to the estimated amount of fee revenue collected for providing the covered service.

Act 317 limits the amount by which a municipality must reduce its levy to the amount of levy dollars expended in 2013 for providing the covered service.

New Notice Requirements for Certain Municipal Charges for Services

The Act prohibits a local government from imposing a fee or charge relating to enforcing an ordinance relating to noxious weeds, electronic waste, or other building or property maintenance standards, unless the local government first provides a notification of that charge. If the notice relates to a building that is not owner-occupied, the notice must be provided to the owner by 1st class mail or electronic mail. If the owner of a property provides an electronic mail address to the local government, the community may not impose a fee or charge related to enforcing an ordinance related to noxious weeds, electronic waste, or other building or property maintenance standards at that property unless the municipality notifies the owner of the property using the electronic mail address provided. The notice requirement does not apply to a fee or charge related to the clearing of snow or ice from a sidewalk or to an ordinance violation that creates an immediate danger to public health, safety, or welfare.

Refund of Certain Fees after a Municipal Order is Withdrawn or Overturned

State law (Chapter 68, Wis. Stats.) provides a process by which a person whose rights, duties, or privileges are adversely affected by a determination of a municipality may request a review of the relevant municipal decision. Act 317 requires a municipal agency to refund any fee paid as a condition of appealing an order that is withdrawn or overturned under that review process.

Allowable Materials for Repairing Historic Buildings

Generally, state law authorizes local governments, as part of the exercise of their zoning and police power authority, to regulate places, structures, and objects with a special character, historic interest, aesthetic interest or other significant value, for the purpose of preserving the place, structure, or object and its significant characteristics.

Act 317 requires local governments to allow owners of property that is designated as a historic landmark or included within a historic district or neighborhood conservation district, when repairing or replacing such property, to use materials that are similar in design, color, scale, architectural appearance, and other visual qualities.

Effective Date: The parts of Act 317 described above took effect on April 18, 2018.
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